Public Opinion Programme, The University of Hong Kong RTHK <Deliberative Forum on Political Reform > Participant Survey 10 Feb 2010

Contact Information

Date of survey: 6/2/2010 Survey method: Self-administered questionnaires Target population: 92 Hong Kong citizens attended the Deliberative Forum

1st round survey (conducted before the debate) Base: 88

2nd round survey (conducted after the debate) Base: 88

Pre_Q1 1. Taking the overall society into consideration, do you think Hong Kong citizens should support the political reform?

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
	1 Very much should not	10	11	12	12
	2 Somewhat should not	11	13	13	25
Valid	3 Half-half	21	24	25	51
vanu	4 Somewhat should	19	22	23	73
	5 Very much should	22	25	27	100
	Total	83	94	100	
Missing	0 Refuse to answer	5	6		
Total		88	100		

Pos_Q1 1. Taking the overall society into consideration, do you think Hong Kong citizens should support the political reform?

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
	1 Very much should not	20	23	23	23
	2 Somewhat should not	16	18	19	42
Valid	3 Half-half	17	19	20	62
v anu	4 Somewhat should	16	18	19	80
	5 Very much should	17	19	20	100
	Total	86	98	100	
Missing	0 Refuse to answer	2	2		
Total		88	100		

Change_Q1gp_5 1. Taking the overall society into consideration, do you think Hong Kong citizens should support the political reform?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	-1 negative change	32	36	39	39
Valid	0 no change	47	53	57	95
v allu	1 positive change	4	5	5	100
	Total	83	94	100	
Missing	System	5	6		
Total		88	100		

Before the debate, around 50% participants said citizens should support the political reform, while 25% thought the opposite. After the debate, around 39% participants said citizens should support the political reform, while 42% thought the opposite. Further analysis shows that, among the 83 participants, opinions of 47 of them did not change, while 32 of them inclined to negative.

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
	1 Very much should not	9	10	10	10
	2 Somewhat should not	11	13	13	23
Valid	3 Half-half	11	13	13	36
vanu	4 Somewhat should	19	22	22	58
	5 Very much should	36	41	42	100
	Total	86	98	100	
Missing	0 Refuse to answer	2	2		
Total		88	100		

Pos_Q2 2. In your personal opinion, should the Legislative Council cancel the functiona	ı
constituency?	

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
	1 Very much should not	6	7	7	7
	2 Somewhat should not	12	14	14	21
Valid	3 Half-half	13	15	15	36
v anu	4 Somewhat should	12	14	14	50
	5 Very much should	43	49	50	100
	Total	86	98	100	
Missing	0 Refuse to answer	2	2		
Total		88	100		

Change_Q2gp_5 2. In your personal opinion, should the Legislative Council cancel the functional constituency?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	-1 negative change	12	14	14	14
Valid	0 no change	53	60	62	76
vand	1 positive change	20	23	24	100
	Total	85	97	100	
Missing	System	3	3		
Total		88	100		

Before the debate, around 64% participants said Legislative Council should cancel the functional constituency, while 23% thought the opposite. After the debate, around 64% participants said Legislative Council should cancel the functional constituency, while 21% thought the opposite. Further analysis shows that, among the 85 participants, opinions of 53 of them did not change, while 20 of them inclined to positive.

Pre_Q3 3.V	What is your ideal year in hav	ing universal suffrage	for the Chief	Executive?	
					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
	1 2012	34	39	40	40
Valid	2 2017	37	42	44	85
vanu	3 2022 or later	13	15	15	100
	Total	84	95	100	
Missing	0 Refuse to answer	4	5		
Total		88	100		

Pos_Q3 3. What is your ideal year in having universal suffrage for the Chief Executive?

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
	1 2012	37	42	44	44
Valid	2 2017	40	45	47	91
valid	3 2022 or later	8	9	9	100
	Total	85	97	100	
Missing	0 Refuse to answer	3	3		
Total		88	100		

Change_Q3gp_5 3.What is your ideal year in having universal suffrage for the Chief Executive?

			_		Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
	-1 move later	10	11	12	12
Valid	0 no change	70	80	84	96
vanu	1 move earlier	3	3	4	100
	Total	83	94	100	
Missing	System	5	6		
Total		88	100		

Before the debate, 40% participants hoped to have universal suffrage for the Chief Executive in 2012, while 44% said 2017.

After the debate, 44% participants hoped to have universal suffrage for the Chief Executive in 2012, while 47% said 2017.

Further analysis shows that, among the 83 participants, opinions of 70 of them did not change, while 10 of them moved their ideal year of universal suffrage for Chief Executive later.

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	1 2012	38	43	44	44
	2 2016	25	28	29	73
valiu	3 2020 or later	23	26	27	100
	Total	86	98	100	
Missing	0 Refuse to answer	2	2		
Total		88	100		

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	1 2012	40	45	46	46
	2 2016	26	30	30	76
	3 2020 or later	21	24	24	100
	Total	87	99	100	
Missing	0 Refuse to answer	1	1		
Total		88	100		

Pos_Q4 4. What is your idea year in having universal suffrage for the Legislative Council?

Change_Q4gp_5 4.What is your idea year in having universal suffrage for the Legislative Council?

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
	-1 move later	9	10	10	10
Valid	0 no change	73	83	85	95
vanu	1 move earlier	4	5	5	100
	Total	86	98	100	
Missing	System	2	2		
Total		88	100		

	00	100	
Before the debate, 44% participants hoped to have	universal su	ffrage for the Legislative Counc	il in 2012, while 29% said 2016.

After the debate, 46% participants hoped to have universal suffrage for the Legislative Council in 2012, while 30% said 2016.

Further analysis shows that, among the 86 participants, opinions of 73 of them did not change, while 9 of them moved their ideal year of universal suffrage for Legislative Council later.

Pre_Q5 5. To what extent do you think the government has been fighting for democracy for Hong Kong citizens?

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
	1 Not hard at all	15	17	17	17
	2 Not quite hard	32	36	37	54
Valid	3 Half-half	28	32	32	86
vanu	4 Quite hard	8	9	9	95
	5 Very hard	4	5	5	100
	Total	87	99	100	
Missing	0 Refuse to answer	1	1		
Total		88	100		

Pos_Q5 5. To what extent do you think the government has been fighting for democracy for Hong Kong citizens?

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
	1 Not hard at all	19	22	22	22
	2 Not quite hard	28	32	32	53
Valid	3 Half-half	27	31	31	84
	4 Quite hard	10	11	11	95
	5 Very hard	4	5	5	100
	Total	88	100	100	

Change_Q5gp_5 5. To what extent do you think the government has been fighting for democracy for Hong Kong citizens?

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
	-1 negative change	15	17	17	17
Valid	0 no change	57	65	66	83
	1 positive change	15	17	17	100

Missing System 1 1		Total	87	99	100
T . 1	Missing	System	1	1	
Total 88 100	Total		88	100	

Before the debate, around 54% participants thought the Government has not been fighting hard for democracy for Hong Kong citizens, while 14% thought the opposite. After the debate, around 53% participants thought the Government has not been fighting hard for democracy for Hong Kong citizens, while 16% thought the opposite. Further analysis shows that, among the 87 participants, opinions of 57 of them did not change, while 15 inclined to positive and 15 inclined to negative.

Pre_Q6 6. In defining universal suffrage, how important if it is defined by the following?

Pos_Q6 6. In defining universal suffrage, how important if it is defined by the following?

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
	1 Not important at all	6	7	7	7
	2 Not quite important	7	8	9	16
Valid	3 Half-half	20	23	24	40
vanu	4 Quite important	31	35	38	78
	5 Very important	18	20	22	100
	Total	82	93	100	
Missing	0 Refuse to answer	6	7		
Total		88	100		

Pre_Q6b 6b. the HKSAR Government

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
	1 Not important at all	5	6	6	6
	2 Not quite important	10	11	13	19
Valid	3 Half-half	19	22	24	43
v anu	4 Quite important	29	33	36	79
	5 Very important	17	19	21	100
	Total	80	91	100	
Missing	0 Refuse to answer	8	9		
Total		88	100		

Pre_Q6c 6c. the Central Government

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
	1 Not important at all	6	7	8	8
	2 Not quite important	10	11	13	20
Valid	3 Half-half	31	35	39	59
vanu	4 Quite important	19	22	24	84
	5 Very important	13	15	16	100
	Total	79	90	100	
Missing	0 Refuse to answer	9	10		
Total		88	100		

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
	1 Not important at all	5	6	6	6
	2 Not quite important	4	5	5	11
Valid	3 Half-half	14	16	18	29
vanu	4 Quite important	40	45	50	79
	5 Very important	17	19	21	100
	Total	80	91	100	
Missing	0 Refuse to answer	8	9		
Total		88	100		

Pos_Q6b 6b.the HKSAR Government

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
	1 Not important at all	8	9	10	10
	2 Not quite important	12	14	15	25
Valid	3 Half-half	19	22	24	49
v anu	4 Quite important	27	31	34	84
	5 Very important	13	15	16	100
	Total	79	90	100	
Missing	0 Refuse to answer	9	10		
Total		88	100		

Pos_Q6c 6c. the Central Government

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
	1 Not important at all	8	9	10	10
	2 Not quite important	18	20	23	33
Valid	3 Half-half	20	23	25	58
	4 Quite important	16	18	20	78
	5 Very important	18	20	23	100
	Total	80	91	100	
Missing	0 Refuse to answer	8	9		
Total		88	100		

Pre_Q6d 6d. the United Nations

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
	1 Not important at all	7	8	9	9
Valid	2 Not quite important	9	10	11	20
	3 Half-half	16	18	20	40
vand	4 Quite important	25	28	31	70
	5 Very important	24	27	30	100
	Total	81	92	100	
Missing	0 Refuse to answer	7	8		
Total		88	100		

Pos_Q6d 6d. the United Nations

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
	1 Not important at all	7	8	8	8
	2 Not quite important	10	11	12	20
Valid	3 Half-half	12	14	14	35
vanu	4 Quite important	28	32	34	69
	5 Very important	26	30	31	100
	Total	83	94	100	
Missing	0 Refuse to answer	5	6		
Total		88	100		

Pre O6e 6e. Others

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	1 Not important at all	4	5	10	10
	2 Not quite important	5	6	12	21
	3 Half-half	13	15	31	52
	4 Quite important	8	9	19	71
	5 Very important	12	14	29	100
	Total	42	48	100	
Missing	0 Refuse to answer	46	52		
Total		88	100		

Pos_Q6e 6e. Others						
					Cumulative	
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent	
	1 Not important at all	5	6	12	12	
	2 Not quite important	6	7	15	27	
Valid	3 Half-half	11	13	27	54	
	4 Quite important	9	10	22	76	
	5 Very important	10	11	24	100	
	Total	41	47	100		
Missing	0 Refuse to answer	47	53			
Total		88	100			

Before the debate, those who thought it was important if it is defined by the United Nations, the Legislative Council, the HKSAR Government and the Central Government accounted to 61%, 60%, 57% and 40% respectively.

After the debate, the priority of importance became, the Legislative Council, the United Nations, the HKSAR Government and the Central Government, with respective percentages of 71%, 65%, 50% and 43%.