

香港大學民意研究計劃 The University of Hong Kong Public Opinion Programme

Opinion Survey on the Public Ranking of Universities in Hong Kong 2016

Presentation of Findings

Frank Lee and Robert Chung HKUPOP

Background

- Commissioned by Media Education Info-tech Co. Ltd. (MEIT, which owns "Education18.com") since 2001, this is the 16th survey in the row.
- Key objective is to gauge the general <u>public's perception</u> of eight institutions of higher education funded through University Grants Committee (UGC) plus Hong Kong Shue Yan University and Open University of Hong Kong, as well as their opinions on qualities of university students.
- The survey questionnaire was designed by HKU POP after consulting MEIT.
- Fieldwork and data analysis conducted independently by POP, but final rankings wholly or partly based on perception figures are compiled independently by MEIT.

Contact Information

Date of survey: May 12-27, 2016

Target Cantonese-speaking population of Hong Kong of

population: age 18 or above

Survey method: Random telephone survey by real interviewers

Sample size: 1,222 successful cases

Response rate: 67.0%

Sampling error: Less than 1.4%


Weighting Data adjusted according to the gender-age

method: distribution of HK population at the 2015 year-end

and the educational attainment (highest level

attended) distribution collected in the 2011 Census

Public Ratings of Universities




^{*} Recognition rate = No. of raters/total sample


▼ Changes being statistically **significant** at 95% confidence level.

Valid samples (2016): 1,029-1,180

Public Ratings of Universities




Public Ratings of University Heads



^{*} Recognition rate = No. of raters/total sample Changes being statistically significant at 95% confidence level.

Valid samples (2016): 600 - 1,084

Perceived Deficiencies of University Students




Changes being statistically significant at 95% confidence level.

Note: top 8 responses are shown here.

Total samples (2016): 1,218


Most Preferred University Graduates

[Only for respondents involved in recruiting new staff; base = 194]



Reasons for Graduate Preferences

[Only for respondents involved in recruiting new staff and with preferences on university graduates; base = 115]



Notes of Caution

- Findings only reflect general public perception of the ten institutions and their leaders, they are not results of objective appraisals or professional assessments.
- Absolute ratings (i.e. 0-10) are used in the key questions, they are methodologically more powerful than relative rankings, because the score received by each institution in any one year is independent of the scores of other institutions, or its own score in another years.
- Sequence of prompting respondents with the name of ten institutions was randomly rotated to avoid possible bias.
- All respondents have been told at the beginning of the interview that POP was an independent research body.